

Evaluation - Gedmin

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Sarasota, January 11, 2022

Survey Responses: 52

Response Rate: 50%

Attendance: 103

The Next Germany

Dr. Jeffrey Gedmin

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	51	0.0	0	9.8	5	2.0	1	33.3	17	54.9	28	4.33	86.67
#2	50	4.0	2	5.9	3	28.0	14	28.0	14	34.0	17	3.82	76.35
#3	52	3.8	2	23.1	12	21.2	11	26.9	14	25.0	13	3.46	69.23
#4	45	2.2	1	8.9	4	11.1	5	26.7	12	51.1	23	4.16	83.11
#5	50	16.0	8	18.0	9	16.0	8	14.0	7	36.0	18	3.36	67.20

Overall Numerical Rating: 3.83

(Average of all five "numerical/percentage ratings" in last columns)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:

3.8256

Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:

76.5521

Overall Percentage Rating:

76.5%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:

3.66

Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:

73.18%

Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. 1 2 3 4 5

Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series 1 2 3 4 5

Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5

Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5

Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two yea 1 2 3 4 5

Evaluation - DeFrank

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Venice - January 13,2022

Survey Responses: 41

Response Rate: 26%

Attendance: 155

Stories That Never Made It Into Print: Backstairs at the White House

Thomas DeFrank

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	41	2.4	1	0.0	0	4.9	2	14.6	6	78.0	32	4.66	93.17
#2	41	4.9	2	4.9	2	22.0	9	14.6	6	53.7	22	4.07	81.46
#3	41	2.4	1	0.0	0	2.4	1	17.1	7	78.0	32	4.68	93.66
#4	40	2.5	1	0.0	0	2.5	1	10.0	4	85.0	34	4.75	95.00
#5	41	2.4	1	7.3	3	7.3	3	12.2	5	70.7	29	4.41	88.29

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.52 Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.5159
 (Average of all five "numerical/percentage ratings" in last columns) Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 92.5305%

Overall Percentage Rating: 90.3% Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.62
 Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 92.32%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two yea 1 2 3 4 5

Evaluation - Sinnott

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Sarasota, September 19/2022

Survey Responses: 52
Response Rate: 72%

Attendance: 76
The Unseen Casts a Shadow

Dr. John Sinnott

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	1		2		3		4		5		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	52	0.0	0	0.0	0	3.8	2	15.4	8	80.8	42	4.77	95.38
#2	51	2.0	1	7.7	4	17.6	9	15.7	8	56.9	29	4.17	83.47
#3	50	2.0	1	6.0	3	16.0	8	28.0	14	48.0	24	4.14	82.80
#4	45	0.0	0	2.2	1	6.7	3	28.9	13	62.2	28	4.51	90.22
#5	52	1.9	1	5.8	3	19.2	10	19.2	10	53.8	28	4.17	83.46

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.35 Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.3534
 (Average of all five "numerical/percentage ratings" in last columns) Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 87.9671

Overall Percentage Rating: 87.1% Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.27
 Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 85.49%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two yea 1 2 3 4 5

Evaluation - Hook

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Sarasota January 20, 2022

Survey Responses: 62

Response Rate: 45%

Attendance: 137

Making Peace in the Middle East

Brian Hook

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	62	0.0	0	0.0	0	1.6	1	3.2	2	95.2	59	4.94	98.71
#2	61	1.6	1	0.0	0	3.3	2	14.8	9	80.3	49	4.72	94.43
#3	62	0.0	0	0.0	0	8.1	5	1.6	1	90.3	56	4.82	96.45
#4	58	1.7	1	0.0	0	5.2	3	17.2	10	75.9	44	4.66	93.10
#5	60	3.3	2	0.0	0	10.0	6	11.7	7	75.0	45	4.55	91.00

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.74

(Average of all five "numerical/percentage ratings" in last columns)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:

4.7369

Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:

94.8162

Overall Percentage Rating: 94.7%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:

4.68

Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:

93.52%

Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. 1 2 3 4 5

Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series 1 2 3 4 5

Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5

Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5

Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two yea 1 2 3 4 5

Evaluation - Jones

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Sarasota and Venice January 25, 2022

Survey Responses: 77

Response Rate: 34%

Attendance: 229

108 Venice 121 FUMC

Energy Transition: Is it Feasible?

Richard Jones

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	76	2.6	2	1.3	1	2.6	2	9.2	7	84.2	64	4.71	94.21
#2	75	1.3	1	3.9	3	6.7	5	14.7	11	73.3	55	4.55	90.91
#3	76	10.5	8	7.9	6	21.1	16	25.0	19	35.5	27	3.67	73.42
#4	69	4.3	3	1.4	1	10.1	7	23.2	16	60.9	42	4.35	86.96
#5	75	13.3	10	13.3	10	8.0	6	14.7	11	50.7	38	3.76	75.20

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.21

(Average of all five "numerical/percentage ratings" in last columns)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:

4.2070

Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:

82.4470

Overall Percentage Rating:

84.1%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:

3.93

Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:

78.53%

Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. 1 2 3 4 5

Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series 1 2 3 4 5

Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5

Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5

Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two yea 1 2 3 4 5

Evaluation - Schudson

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Lakewood Ranch, January 27, 2022

Survey Responses: 70
Response Rate: 82%

Attendance: 97
Independence Corrupted: How American Judges Really Make Their Decisions

Charles Schudson

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	70	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	7.1	5	92.9	65	4.93	98.57
#2	70	2.9	2	1.4	1	8.6	6	8.6	6	78.6	55	4.59	91.71
#3	70	0.0	0	0.0	0	2.9	2	10.0	7	87.1	61	4.84	96.86
#4	68	0.0	0	1.5	1	1.5	1	13.2	9	83.8	57	4.79	95.88
#5	69	1.4	1	1.4	1	7.2	5	5.8	4	84.1	58	4.70	93.91

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.77
(Average of all five "numerical/percentage ratings" in last columns)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.7694
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 96.3060

Overall Percentage Rating: 95.4%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.78
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 95.55%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two yea 1 2 3 4 5

Evaluation - McClure

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Sarasota, February 8, 2022

Survey Responses: 85

Response Rate:

Attendance:

Modern Misunderstandings of American Government and Politics

Robert McClure

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	1		2		3		4		5		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	84	1.2	1	3.6	3	10.7	9	15.5	13	69.0	58	4.48	89.52
#2	83	7.2	6	6.0	5	14.5	12	19.3	16	53.0	44	4.05	80.94
#3	84	1.2	1	4.8	4	11.9	10	23.8	20	58.3	49	4.33	86.67
#4	70	1.4	1	2.9	2	7.1	5	27.1	19	61.4	43	4.44	88.86
#5	82	4.9	4	13.4	11	11.0	9	17.1	14	53.7	44	4.01	80.24

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.2623

(Average of all five "numerical/percentage ratings" in last columns)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.2623

Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 86.3229

4.2623

86.3229

Overall Percentage Rating: 85.26%

85.26%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.26

4.26

Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 85.26%

85.26%

Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. 1 2 3 4 5

Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series 1 2 3 4 5

Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5

Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5

Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two yea 1 2 3 4 5

Evaluation - Charles Ray

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Sarasota Wednesday, February 23, 2022

Survey Responses: 49

Response Rate: 72%

Attendance: 68

US Military Presence in Africa: The Limits of Engagement

Charles Ray

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	48	0.0	0	2.1	1	6.3	3	14.6	7	77.1	37	4.67	93.33
#2	48	2.1	1	4.2	2	25.0	12	16.7	8	52.1	25	4.13	82.50
#3	48	2.1	1	6.3	3	4.2	2	8.3	4	79.2	38	4.56	91.25
#4	44	0.0	0	4.5	2	6.8	3	9.1	4	79.5	35	4.64	92.73
#5	48	4.2	2	4.2	2	14.6	7	18.8	9	58.3	28	4.23	84.58

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.44

(Average of all five "numerical/percentage ratings" in last columns)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:

4.4439

Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:

90.4735

Overall Percentage Rating:

88.9%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:

4.48

Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:

89.52%

Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. 1 2 3 4 5

Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series 1 2 3 4 5

Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5

Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5

Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two yea 1 2 3 4 5

Evaluation - Russel Honore

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Venice - March 1, 2022

Survey Responses: 47

Response Rate: 48%

Attendance: 99

Military Support to Civil Authorities

Russel Honore

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	1		2		3		4		5		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	46	2.2	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	10.9	5	87.0	40	4.80	96.09
#2	45	2.2	1	4.3	2	11.1	5	11.1	5	71.1	32	4.44	88.85
#3	46	4.3	2	2.2	1	4.3	2	8.7	4	80.4	37	4.59	91.74
#4	45	6.7	3	0.0	0	6.7	3	20.0	9	66.7	30	4.40	88.00
#5	44	9.1	4	4.5	2	2.3	1	9.1	4	77.3	34	4.48	89.55

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.54 Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.5422
 (Average of all five "numerical/percentage ratings" in last columns) Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 91.3429

Overall Percentage Rating: 90.8% Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.49
 Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 89.76%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's serie: 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two ye: 1 2 3 4 5

Evaluation Peter Bergen

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Venice March 18, 2022

Survey Responses: 50
Response Rate: 24%

Attendance: 212
Domestic Extremism

Peter Bergen

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	1		2		3		4		5		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	49	2.0	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	8.2	4	89.8	44	4.84	96.73
#2	50	2.0	1	4.1	2	12.0	6	14.0	7	68.0	34	4.42	88.43
#3	50	6.0	3	14.0	7	14.0	7	26.0	13	40.0	20	3.80	76.00
#4	47	2.1	1	8.5	4	17.0	8	21.3	10	51.1	24	4.11	82.13
#5	49	16.3	8	6.1	3	12.2	6	16.3	8	49.0	24	3.76	75.10

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.18 **Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:** 4.1840
(Average of all five "numerical/percentage ratings" in last columns) **Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:** 82.4911

Overall Percentage Rating: 83.7% **Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:** 3.89
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 77.74%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's serie: 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two ye: 1 2 3 4 5

Evaluation Stephen Biegun

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Sarasota and Venice, March 22, 2022

Survey Responses: 77

Response Rate:

Attendance:
Negotiating with North Korea

Stephen Biegun

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	1		2		3		4		5		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	77	1.3	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	9.1	7	89.6	69	4.86	97.14
#2	77	1.3	1	1.3	1	6.5	5	15.6	12	75.3	58	4.62	92.47
#3	77	1.3	1	2.6	2	0.0	0	5.2	4	90.9	70	4.82	96.36
#4	76	1.3	1	1.3	1	0.0	0	5.3	4	92.1	70	4.86	97.11
#5	75	1.3	1	2.7	2	2.7	2	10.7	8	82.7	62	4.71	94.13

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.77 **Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:** 4.7721
 (Average of all five "numerical/percentage ratings" in last columns) **Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:** 96.1863

Overall Percentage Rating: 95.4% **Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only:** 4.79
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 95.87%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's serie: 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two ye: 1 2 3 4 5

